

## Full Annual Education Report

# District-Level Student Assessment Data for Washtenaw ISD, Whitmore Lake Public Schools

### Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Language Arts / Reading								
Grade: 03								
All Students	2008-09	100%	86.4%	94.2%	46.5%	47.7%	5.8%	0%
All Students	2009-10	100%	89.8%	94.1%	54.1%	40%	5.9%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	88.3%	97.7%	58.1%	39.5%	2.3%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	91.9%	100%	71%	29%	0%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	84.6%	90.7%	34.9%	55.8%	9.3%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	87.9%	90.7%	44.4%	46.3%	9.3%	0%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific	2008-09	<10	93.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
Islander								
White	2008-09	100%	90%	94%	48.2%	45.8%	6%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	92.7%	94.1%	54.1%	40%	5.9%	0%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	63.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	100%	71%	78.6%	14.3%	64.3%	21.4%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	79.1%	93.8%	34.4%	59.4%	6.3%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	84.5%	93.8%	53.1%	40.6%	6.3%	0%
Grade: 04								
All Students	2008-09	100%	82.8%	87.5%	31.3%	56.3%	12.5%	0%
All Students	2009-10	100%	84.1%	92.1%	50%	42.1%	7.9%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	84.7%	91.1%	33.3%	57.8%	8.9%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	86.1%	87.2%	51.3%	35.9%	12.8%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	80.9%	82.9%	28.6%	54.3%	17.1%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	82.1%	97.3%	48.6%	48.6%	2.7%	0%
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	65.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	88%	87.3%	30.4%	57%	12.7%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	88.9%	92.1%	50%	42.1%	7.9%	0%
Limited English	2008-09	<10	61.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
Proficient								
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	54.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	58%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	72.9%	83.3%	25%	58.3%	16.7%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	75.7%	89.2%	43.2%	45.9%	10.8%	0%
Grade: 05								
All Students	2008-09	100%	81.5%	83.1%	32.4%	50.7%	16.9%	0%
All Students	2009-10	100%	85.2%	85.7%	41.4%	44.3%	11.4%	2.9%
Female	2008-09	100%	83.4%	86.8%	28.9%	57.9%	13.2%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	86.8%	95.1%	48.8%	46.3%	2.4%	2.4%
Male	2008-09	100%	79.8%	78.8%	36.4%	42.4%	21.2%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	83.5%	72.4%	31%	41.4%	24.1%	3.4%
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	70.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	86.9%	83.1%	32.4%	50.7%	16.9%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	89.8%	85.5%	40.6%	44.9%	11.6%	2.9%
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	61.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with	2008-09	<10	49.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
Disabilities								
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	57.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	70.5%	75.9%	24.1%	51.7%	24.1%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	76.6%	80.8%	42.3%	38.5%	15.4%	3.8%
Grade: 06								
All Students	2008-09	100%	80.5%	81.7%	32.3%	49.5%	16.1%	2.2%
All Students	2009-10	100%	87.7%	91.5%	14.1%	77.5%	7%	1.4%
Female	2008-09	100%	82.9%	87%	31.5%	55.6%	11.1%	1.9%
Female	2009-10	100%	90%	92.3%	10.3%	82.1%	7.7%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	78.2%	74.4%	33.3%	41%	23.1%	2.6%
Male	2009-10	100%	85.4%	90.6%	18.8%	71.9%	6.3%	3.1%
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	63.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	85.7%	82.6%	32.6%	50%	15.2%	2.2%
White	2009-10	100%	91.1%	91.5%	14.1%	77.5%	7%	1.4%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	100%	44.7%	50%	16.7%	33.3%	41.7%	8.3%
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	59.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	69.2%	64.3%	28.6%	35.7%	32.1%	3.6%

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	81.1%	84.4%	12.5%	71.9%	12.5%	3.1%
Grade: 07								
All Students	2008-09	100%	79.6%	85.4%	30.3%	55.1%	11.2%	3.4%
All Students	2009-10	100%	82%	83.9%	41.9%	41.9%	11.8%	4.3%
Female	2008-09	100%	82.8%	81.8%	34.1%	47.7%	11.4%	6.8%
Female	2009-10	100%	84.5%	90%	52%	38%	6%	4%
Male	2008-09	100%	76.5%	88.9%	26.7%	62.2%	11.1%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	79.6%	76.7%	30.2%	46.5%	18.6%	4.7%
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	61.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	84.7%	86.4%	30.7%	55.7%	10.2%	3.4%
White	2009-10	100%	87.3%	83.9%	41.9%	41.9%	11.8%	4.3%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	100%	42.7%	61.5%	7.7%	53.8%	30.8%	7.7%
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	100%	48%	53.3%	20%	33.3%	20%	26.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	68.3%	80%	23.3%	56.7%	13.3%	6.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	72.4%	79.4%	38.2%	41.2%	8.8%	11.8%
Grade: 08								
All Students	2008-09	100%	75.5%	78.5%	32.3%	46.2%	15.1%	6.5%

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
All Students	2009-10	100%	83.4%	83.3%	21.4%	61.9%	13.1%	3.6%
Female	2008-09	100%	78.6%	86%	34.9%	51.2%	9.3%	4.7%
Female	2009-10	100%	87.5%	86.4%	20.5%	65.9%	11.4%	2.3%
Male	2008-09	100%	72.6%	72%	30%	42%	20%	8%
Male	2009-10	100%	79.4%	80%	22.5%	57.5%	15%	5%
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	56.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
American Indian or Alaska Native	2009-10	<10	80.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2008-09	<10	86.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	80.9%	79.1%	33%	46.2%	14.3%	6.6%
White	2009-10	100%	86.9%	83.1%	20.5%	62.7%	13.3%	3.6%
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	<10	46.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	100%	35.1%	38.5%	7.7%	30.8%	30.8%	30.8%
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	100%	48.6%	66.7%	25%	41.7%	25%	8.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	62.7%	80%	34.3%	45.7%	14.3%	5.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	75%	77.8%	11.1%	66.7%	18.5%	3.7%

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
Mathematics								
Grade: 03								
All Students	2008-09	100%	91.3%	97.7%	69.8%	27.9%	2.3%	0%
All Students	2009-10	100%	94.8%	100%	68.3%	31.7%	0%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	90.9%	97.7%	63.6%	34.1%	2.3%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	94.8%	100%	63.3%	36.7%	0%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	91.6%	97.6%	76.2%	21.4%	2.4%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	94.8%	100%	71.2%	28.8%	0%	0%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2008-09	<10	96%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	94.9%	97.6%	71.1%	26.5%	2.4%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	96.9%	100%	68.3%	31.7%	0%	0%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	79.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	100%	87.8%	100%	50%	50%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	85.7%	93.9%	66.7%	27.3%	6.1%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	91.9%	100%	80.6%	19.4%	0%	0%
Grade: 04								

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
All Students	2008-09	100%	87.9%	87.5%	32.5%	55%	11.3%	1.3%
All Students	2009-10	100%	92.3%	90.8%	34.2%	56.6%	9.2%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	87.9%	88.9%	33.3%	55.6%	8.9%	2.2%
Female	2009-10	100%	92.9%	94.9%	23.1%	71.8%	5.1%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	87.8%	85.7%	31.4%	54.3%	14.3%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	91.6%	86.5%	45.9%	40.5%	13.5%	0%
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	73.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	92%	87.3%	32.9%	54.4%	11.4%	1.3%
White	2009-10	100%	95.1%	90.8%	34.2%	56.6%	9.2%	0%
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	<10	75.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	68.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	80%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	80.4%	75%	27.8%	47.2%	22.2%	2.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	87.8%	86.5%	18.9%	67.6%	13.5%	0%
Grade: 05								
All Students	2008-09	100%	76.8%	67.6%	23.9%	43.7%	29.6%	2.8%
All Students	2009-10	100%	79.5%	74.3%	28.6%	45.7%	21.4%	4.3%

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
Female	2008-09	100%	75.8%	65.8%	18.4%	47.4%	31.6%	2.6%
Female	2009-10	100%	79.6%	78%	29.3%	48.8%	22%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	77.8%	69.7%	30.3%	39.4%	27.3%	3%
Male	2009-10	100%	79.4%	69%	27.6%	41.4%	20.7%	10.3%
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	62.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	83%	67.6%	23.9%	43.7%	29.6%	2.8%
White	2009-10	100%	84.3%	73.9%	29%	44.9%	21.7%	4.3%
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	67.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	46.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	52.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	64.4%	62.1%	17.2%	44.8%	31%	6.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	69.8%	72%	24%	48%	28%	0%
Grade: 06								
All Students	2008-09	100%	79.9%	67.7%	30.1%	37.6%	28%	4.3%
All Students	2009-10	100%	82%	84.3%	40%	44.3%	15.7%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	80.9%	70.4%	29.6%	40.7%	22.2%	7.4%
Female	2009-10	100%	83%	87.2%	33.3%	53.8%	12.8%	0%

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
Male	2008-09	100%	78.9%	64.1%	30.8%	33.3%	35.9%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	81.1%	80.6%	48.4%	32.3%	19.4%	0%
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	61.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	85.2%	68.5%	30.4%	38%	27.2%	4.3%
White	2009-10	100%	87.5%	84.3%	40%	44.3%	15.7%	0%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	100%	45.5%	33.3%	8.3%	25%	41.7%	25%
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	52.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	69%	57.1%	28.6%	28.6%	32.1%	10.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	72.5%	80.6%	32.3%	48.4%	19.4%	0%
Grade: 07								
All Students	2008-09	100%	82.6%	88.8%	61.8%	27%	11.2%	0%
All Students	2009-10	100%	82.2%	81.7%	50.5%	31.2%	18.3%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	84.2%	93.2%	68.2%	25%	6.8%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	82.6%	88%	58%	30%	12%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	81.1%	84.4%	55.6%	28.9%	15.6%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	81.7%	74.4%	41.9%	32.6%	25.6%	0%
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	63.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
White	2008-09	100%	87.9%	89.8%	62.5%	27.3%	10.2%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	87.6%	81.7%	50.5%	31.2%	18.3%	0%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	100%	45.7%	46.2%	15.4%	30.8%	53.8%	0%
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	100%	51.2%	40%	26.7%	13.3%	60%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	71.9%	80%	50%	30%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	72.4%	73.5%	47.1%	26.5%	26.5%	0%
Grade: 08								
All Students	2008-09	100%	74.5%	79.8%	48.9%	30.9%	16%	4.3%
All Students	2009-10	100%	70.3%	81.4%	54.7%	26.7%	17.4%	1.2%
Female	2008-09	100%	74.3%	72.7%	40.9%	31.8%	27.3%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	70.6%	82.6%	56.5%	26.1%	17.4%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	74.8%	86%	56%	30%	6%	8%
Male	2009-10	100%	70%	80%	52.5%	27.5%	17.5%	2.5%
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	51.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
American Indian or Alaska Native	2009-10	<10	63%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific	2008-09	<10	89.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
Islander								
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	59.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	80.8%	80.4%	48.9%	31.5%	15.2%	4.3%
White	2009-10	100%	77.1%	82.1%	54.8%	27.4%	16.7%	1.2%
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	<10	57.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	100%	38.6%	35.7%	14.3%	21.4%	42.9%	21.4%
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	100%	31.6%	33.3%	0%	33.3%	58.3%	8.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	61.6%	71.4%	40%	31.4%	25.7%	2.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	56.3%	79.3%	48.3%	31%	17.2%	3.4%
Science								
Grade: 05								
All Students	2008-09	100%	83.1%	84.5%	32.4%	52.1%	11.3%	4.2%
All Students	2009-10	100%	81%	80.8%	38.4%	42.5%	15.1%	4.1%
Female	2008-09	100%	83.3%	84.2%	15.8%	68.4%	13.2%	2.6%
Female	2009-10	100%	81.1%	88.1%	45.2%	42.9%	11.9%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	82.9%	84.8%	51.5%	33.3%	9.1%	6.1%
Male	2009-10	100%	80.8%	71%	29%	41.9%	19.4%	9.7%

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	59.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	89.4%	84.5%	32.4%	52.1%	11.3%	4.2%
White	2009-10	100%	87.5%	80.6%	38.9%	41.7%	15.3%	4.2%
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	56.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	63.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	60.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	72.3%	86.2%	31%	55.2%	6.9%	6.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	70.6%	85.2%	25.9%	59.3%	11.1%	3.7%
Grade: 08								
All Students	2008-09	100%	76.3%	85.1%	34%	51.1%	10.6%	4.3%
All Students	2009-10	100%	75.9%	82.6%	39.5%	43%	15.1%	2.3%
Female	2008-09	100%	77.2%	86%	30.2%	55.8%	9.3%	4.7%
Female	2009-10	100%	77%	82.6%	34.8%	47.8%	13%	4.3%
Male	2008-09	100%	75.4%	84.3%	37.3%	47.1%	11.8%	3.9%
Male	2009-10	100%	74.9%	82.5%	45%	37.5%	17.5%	0%
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	50.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
American Indian or Alaska Native	2009-10	<10	70.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2008-09	<10	87.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	65%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	83.5%	87%	34.8%	52.2%	8.7%	4.3%
White	2009-10	100%	83.1%	83.3%	39.3%	44%	14.3%	2.4%
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	<10	48.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	100%	42.5%	61.5%	15.4%	46.2%	15.4%	23.1%
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	100%	42.3%	50%	0%	50%	33.3%	16.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	61.9%	80%	28.6%	51.4%	17.1%	2.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	63.1%	82.8%	34.5%	48.3%	13.8%	3.4%

## **Michigan Merit Examination (MME)**

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
English Language Arts / Reading								
Grade: 11								
All Students	2008-09	100%	59.9%	61%	1%	60%	25%	14%
All Students	2009-10	98.9%	65.2%	62.5%	1.1%	61.4%	27.3%	10.2%
Female	2008-09	100%	64.2%	66.7%	0%	66.7%	20.4%	13%
Female	2009-10	100%	68%	58.5%	0%	58.5%	29.3%	12.2%
Male	2008-09	100%	55.5%	54.3%	2.2%	52.2%	30.4%	15.2%
Male	2009-10	97.9%	62.3%	66%	2.1%	63.8%	25.5%	8.5%
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	37.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2009-10	<10	74.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	50.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	66.1%	61%	1%	60%	25%	14%
White	2009-10	98.8%	71.5%	61.9%	1.2%	60.7%	27.4%	10.7%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	100%	23.5%	17.6%	0%	17.6%	35.3%	47.1%
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	93.8%	23.6%	20%	0%	20%	40%	40%

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	42.4%	48%	4%	44%	24%	28%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	48.5%	48.6%	0%	48.6%	37.1%	14.3%
Mathematics								
Grade: 11								
All Students	2008-09	97%	49.3%	51.5%	8.2%	43.3%	22.7%	25.8%
All Students	2009-10	98.9%	50.4%	39.8%	3.4%	36.4%	31.8%	28.4%
Female	2008-09	100%	47.2%	53.7%	11.1%	42.6%	13%	33.3%
Female	2009-10	100%	48.3%	31.7%	0%	31.7%	29.3%	39%
Male	2008-09	93.5%	51.5%	48.8%	4.7%	44.2%	34.9%	16.3%
Male	2009-10	97.9%	52.5%	46.8%	6.4%	40.4%	34%	19.1%
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	16.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2009-10	<10	72.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	33.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	97%	56.5%	51.5%	8.2%	43.3%	22.7%	25.8%
White	2009-10	98.8%	57.9%	40.5%	3.6%	36.9%	31%	28.6%

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	82.4%	10.2%	14.3%	0%	14.3%	21.4%	64.3%
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	93.8%	11.2%	13.3%	0%	13.3%	13.3%	73.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	88%	28.9%	36.4%	13.6%	22.7%	36.4%	27.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	30.4%	17.1%	0%	17.1%	34.3%	48.6%

## MI-Access

## Functional Independence

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Surpassed (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Attained (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Emerging (Level 3)</b>
English Language Arts							
Grade: 03							

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Surpassed (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Attained (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Emerging (Level 3)</b>
All Students	2008-09	<10	82.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	81%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	82.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	80.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	87.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	83.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 04							
All Students	2008-09	<10	83.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	75.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	84.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	74.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	83.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	75.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	85.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	77.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 05							
All Students	2008-09	<10	83.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	81%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	81.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	83%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	80.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	84.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Surpassed (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Attained (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Emerging (Level 3)</b>
White	2009-10	<10	83.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 06							
All Students	2008-09	<10	87.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	86.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	89.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 07							
All Students	2009-10	<10	88.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	86.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	90.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 08							
All Students	2008-09	<10	91.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	91.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	90.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	93%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics							
Grade: 03							
All Students	2008-09	<10	80.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	76.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Surpassed (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Attained (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Emerging (Level 3)</b>
Female	2008-09	<10	76.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	71.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	83.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	79.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 04							
All Students	2008-09	<10	86.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	85%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	84.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	83.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	87.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	85.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	88.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	88.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 05							
All Students	2008-09	<10	74.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	71.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	65.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	75.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	74.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	78.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	74.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Surpassed (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Attained (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Emerging (Level 3)</b>
Grade: 06							
All Students	2008-09	<10	83.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	84.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	85.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 07							
All Students	2009-10	<10	70.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	72.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	74.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 08							
All Students	2008-09	<10	83.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	85.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	86.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science							
Grade: 05							
All Students	2008-09	<10	59.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	58%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	51.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	60.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Surpassed (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Attained (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Emerging (Level 3)</b>
Male	2009-10	<10	61.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	65.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	63.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 08							
All Students	2008-09	<10	47.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	42.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	50.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	57.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10

## **Supported Independence**

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Surpassed (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Attained (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Emerging (Level 3)</b>
English Language Arts							
Grade: 04							
All Students	2009-10	<10	79.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	79%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	79.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Surpassed (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Attained (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Emerging (Level 3)</b>
Grade: 05							
All Students	2008-09	<10	66.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	67.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	67.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics							
Grade: 04							
All Students	2009-10	<10	90.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	88.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	89.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10

## Participation

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Surpassed (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Attained (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Emerging (Level 3)</b>
English Language Arts							
Grade: 03							

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Surpassed (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Attained (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Emerging (Level 3)</b>
All Students	2008-09	<10	62%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	62.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	61.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	63.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics							
Grade: 03							
All Students	2008-09	<10	68.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	66%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	69.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	68.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10

## MEAP-Access

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Level 1</b>	<b>% Level 2</b>	<b>% Level 3</b>
Reading							

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Level 1</b>	<b>% Level 2</b>	<b>% Level 3</b>
Grade: 05							
All Students	2009-10	<10	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	53.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	48%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	52.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	<10	48%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 06							
All Students	2009-10	<10	48.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	47.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	52%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	<10	44.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics							
Grade: 03							
All Students	2009-10	<10	60.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	55.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	63.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Level 1</b>	<b>% Level 2</b>	<b>% Level 3</b>
Grade: 05							
All Students	2009-10	<10	62.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	60.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	63.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	65.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	<10	60.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 06							
All Students	2009-10	<10	47.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	48.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	49%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	<10	48.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10

## **2009-10 District-Level Accountability (AYP) Detail Reporting for Washtenaw ISD, Whitmore Lake Public Schools**

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
All Students		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.1%	93.9%
Mathematics	98.9%	93.7%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.1%	95.4%
Mathematics	98.8%	95.2%
Black or African American		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	97.7%	88.4%
Mathematics	97.4%	88%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
American Indian or Alaska Native		
State		

<b>Subject</b>	<b>% Tested (Goal 95%)</b>	<b>% Proficient for AYP*</b>
English Language Arts / Reading	99.2%	93.2%
Mathematics	99%	92.4%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.5%	96.8%
Mathematics	99.6%	97.5%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Hispanic or Latino		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.3%	91.3%
Mathematics	98.9%	92.4%
District		

<b>Subject</b>	<b>% Tested (Goal 95%)</b>	<b>% Proficient for AYP*</b>
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
White		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.4%	95.3%
Mathematics	99.3%	95.1%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.3%	95.5%
Mathematics	98.8%	95.3%
Multiracial		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.4%	93.5%
Mathematics	102.3%	94.3%
Limited English Proficient		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	123.6%	86.9%

<b>Subject</b>	<b>% Tested (Goal 95%)</b>	<b>% Proficient for AYP*</b>
Mathematics	126.3%	92.3%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Students with Disabilities		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	73.1%
Mathematics	102.2%	76.5%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	105.6%	78.3%
Mathematics	104.5%	78.3%
Economically Disadvantaged		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	90.6%
Mathematics	102.5%	91.1%
District		

<b>Subject</b>	<b>% Tested (Goal 95%)</b>	<b>% Proficient for AYP*</b>
English Language Arts / Reading	100.9%	92.3%
Mathematics	100.9%	92.7%

Note: 467 Recently arrived LEP students took part in the State's ELPA instead of the MEAP/MME/MI-Access.

\* [AYP Targets \(Annual Measurable Objectives\)](#)

<b>Graduation Rate (High Schools only)</b> <b>(Goal 80%)</b>	
All Students	
State	
	75.39%
District	
	80%
Black or African American	
State	
	56.59%
District	
	<10
American Indian or Alaska Native	

**Graduation Rate (High Schools only)**  
**(Goal 80%)**

State

65%

Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander

State

84.47%

Hispanic or Latino

State

59.94%

White

State

81.85%

District

79.78%

**Graduation Rate (High Schools only)**  
**(Goal 80%)**

Multiracial

State

71.12%

Limited English Proficient

State

65.51%

Students with Disabilities

State

57.61%

District

60%

Economically Disadvantaged

State

<b>Graduation Rate (High Schools only)</b> <b>(Goal 80%)</b>	
59.8%	
District	
85%	
<b>Attendance Rate</b> <b>(Goal 90%)</b>	
All Students	
State	
94.7%	
District	
95%	
Black or African American	
State	
91%	
District	
93%	

**Attendance Rate  
(Goal 90%)**

American Indian or Alaska Native

State

93.7%

Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander

State

96.5%

District

97.7%

Hispanic or Latino

State

94.1%

District

96.6%

<b>Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)</b>	
White	
State	
	95.7%
District	
	95%
Multiracial	
State	
	94.8%
Limited English Proficient	
State	
	94.6%
District	
	86.3%

<b>Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)</b>	
Students with Disabilities	
State	93.5%
District	93.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	
State	94.8%
District	95.1%

\* All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.

\*\* More information regarding AYP can be found at the following link:

[http://www.michigan.gov/mde/0,1607,7-140-22709\\_22875---,00.html](http://www.michigan.gov/mde/0,1607,7-140-22709_22875---,00.html)

## **Michigan Annual AYP Objectives**

## Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Reading/ELA

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		38%			31%		42%
2002-03		38%			31%		42%
2003-04		38%			31%		42%
2004-05		48%			43%		52%
2005-06	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2006-07	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2007-08	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2008-09	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2009-10	70%	69%	68%	67%	66%	65%	71%
2010-11	78%	77%	76%	75%	74%	73%	79%
2011-12	86%	85%	84%	83%	82%	82%	86%
2012-13	93%	92%	92%	91%	91%	91%	93%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

## Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Mathematics

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		47%				31%	33%
2002-03		47%				31%	33%
2003-04		47%				31%	33%
2004-05		56%				43%	44%
2005-06	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2006-07	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2007-08	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2008-09	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2009-10	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2010-11	75%	74%	71%	70%	67%	66%	67%
2011-12	83%	82%	81%	80%	78%	77%	78%
2012-13	91%	91%	90%	90%	89%	89%	89%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

## 2009-10 District-Level Accountability (AYP) Status Reporting for Washtenaw ISD, Whitmore Lake Public Schools

### District AYP Status

AYP ELA/Reading Status	AYP Mathematics Status	AYP Overall Status	District Improvement Status	Years in Improvement
Met	Met	Met	N/A	0

### Schools in District AYP Status

School/Building	Title 1 Status	AYP ELA/Reading Status	AYP Mathematics Status	AYP Overall Status	Education Yes Report Card Grade	School Improvement Status	Years in Improvement
Whitmore Lake	Yes	Met	Met	Met	A	N/A	0

School/ Building	Title 1 Status	AYP ELA/Reading Status	AYP Mathematics Status	AYP Overall Status	Education Yes Report Card Grade	School Improvement Status	Years in Improvement
Elementary School							
Whitmore Lake High School	No	Met	Met	Met	B	N/A	0
Whitmore Lake Middle School	No	Met	Met	Met	A	N/A	0

% of Schools making AYP: 100%

% of Schools in School Improvement status: 0%

% of Schools in Corrective Action status: 0%

% of Schools in Restructuring status: 0%

% of Title I Schools making AYP: 100%

% of Title I Schools in School Improvement status: 0%

% of Title I Schools in Corrective Action status: 0%

% of Title I Schools in Restructuring status: 0%

## December, 2009 District-Level Teacher Quality Reporting for Washtenaw ISD, Whitmore Lake Public Schools

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	Ph.D
Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the District	0	18	56	1

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers	0%
---	----

in the District with Emergency Certification			
	<b>District Aggregate</b>	<b>High-Poverty Schools</b>	<b>Low-Poverty Schools</b>
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%		

## Michigan Report Card for the National Assessment of Educational Progress

### NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Mathematics Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	22	43	30	5
Male	50	22	41	30	7
Female	50	22	45	29	4
National Lunch Program Eligibility					
Eligible	43	36	47	16	1
Not Eligible	56	11	40	40	9
Info not available	†	†	†	†	†
Race Ethnicity					
White	71	14	43	37	6
Black	20	52	39	9	0
Hispanic	5	29	51	19	1
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	3	13	32	36	19
American Indian	1	†	†	†	†

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Unclassified	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Student classified as having a disability					
SD	12	42	39	17	2
Not SD	88	19	44	31	6
Student is an English Language Learner					
ELL	3	48	40	11	1
Not ELL	97	21	43	31	5

‡ Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

## NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Mathematics Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	32	37	24	7
Male	51	31	37	24	8
Female	49	33	38	24	5
National Lunch Program Eligibility					
Eligible	38	50	37	12	1
Not Eligible	62	21	38	31	10
Info not available	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Race Ethnicity					
White	74	23	40	29	8
Black	18	68	27	4	1
Hispanic	4	38	45	15	2
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	2	11	30	31	28
American Indian	1	‡	‡	‡	‡

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Unclassified	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Student classified as having a disability					
SD	10	75	22	2	1
Not SD	90	27	39	27	7
Student is an English Language Learner					
ELL	2	58	32	10	0
Not ELL	98	32	37	24	7

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

## NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Reading Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	34	23	6
Male	50	39	35	21	5
Female	50	32	34	26	8
National Lunch Program Eligibility					
Eligible	43	52	33	13	2
Not Eligible	57	24	36	31	10
Info not available	#	‡	‡	‡	‡
Race Ethnicity					
White	71	28	36	28	8
Black	19	65	26	7	1
Hispanic	5	49	34	15	2
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	3	21	37	25	17
American Indian	1	‡	‡	‡	‡

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Unclassified	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Student classified as having a disability					
SD	10	66	24	8	3
Not SD	90	32	36	25	7
Student is an English Language Learner					
ELL	3	65	26	9	1
Not ELL	97	35	35	24	7

# Rounds to zero

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.

## NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Reading Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	28	41	28	3
Male	51	33	42	23	2
Female	49	23	41	32	4
National Lunch Program Eligibility					
Eligible	37	44	41	14	1
Not Eligible	62	18	42	36	4
Info not available	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Race Ethnicity					
White	74	21	32	32	3
Black	18	54	37	9	#
Hispanic	4	40	34	24	2
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	2	‡	‡	‡	‡

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
American Indian Unclassified	1 1	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability	9	73	22	4	#
SD	91	23	43	30	3
Student is an English Language Learner	2	60	33	8	#
ELL	98	27	42	28	3
Not ELL					

# Rounds to zero

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for IEP Students	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math	82.08	2.771	81.98	2.786	91.89	3.063
	Reading	72.05	2.592	72.01	2.63	81.16	3.53
8	Math	76.39	2.561	76.21	2.578	93.13	4.12
	Reading	70.72	3.239	70.46	3.298	85.15	4.505